July 1, 1974

Instruction Memo No. 74-245
Expires: 12/31/74

To: All SDs, SCD

From: Associate Director

Subject: Assistance of Gus Hormay in Rest-Rotation Multiple-Use Land Management

There have been questions raised whether the project effort represents any sort of deviation from the Bureau's Planning System.

It is certainly not intended that any deviation occur. Consequently, these guidelines should be observed:

1. Areas selected for demonstration must be within Planning Units covered by an MFP meeting Planning System work standards, and on which adequate public participation occurred during preparation of the MFP.

2. Public discussion of the rest-rotation system would start with a review of the MFP and its guidance and would focus on implementation alternatives within the scope of the grazing system.

3. The resulting rest-rotation system must be consistent with the MFP guidelines.

4. If new data are developed during preparation of the rest-rotation system which would be of significance to the MFP, and if, based upon these data, you feel that the existing MFP guidelines should not be followed, the involved portion of the MFP must be updated to permit consideration of all activity relationships and balanced updating of MFP guidance before continuing with development of the grazing system.

[Signature]
Acting

Save Energy and You Serve America!
COMMENTS ON JOHN C. WHITAKER'S LETTER
OF JUNE 11 TO SENATOR FANNIN
CONCERNING THE KOFA GAME RANGE

Examples of the bias of Under Secretary Whitaker are as follows:

Paragraph 2, Page 1:

He states: "Of the 1,828 cows involved in our grazing permit only 150 head are authorized in the forty-two percent (42%) of area they want transferred." This is not true. By agreement with the BLM we took a voluntary cut on a year-to-year basis to 150 cows on all the area south of Cravy Well. This does not include the Coyote Peak Well, Charco Tank and New Weli. In this area we run another 350 cows, making a total of approximately 500+ head. This is a very substantial cut in our overall operation.

Paragraph 3, Page 1:

He states: "From the outset, many personnel of this department have had serious reservations whether 'excess' forage is ever available, etc." While the BSRW has contended that there is a scarcity of forage the BLM has never done so in the 40-some odd years we have worked together. I refer Mr. Whitaker to the unbiased report filed by Barry Freeman, noted range analyst in the Southwest. His opinion is held in high esteem by private and government agencies alike.

Paragraph 1, Page 2:

In all due respect for Mr. Fred S. Weiler, past Arizona State Director of BLM he, like Mr. Whitaker and Nathaniel Reed, was well known for always siding with those whose interest is primarily in game animals and not livestock. Mr. Weiler was an ardent hunter and was more interested in game than in the raising of cattle and sheep. Almost all of his information for his
July 1965 memorandum was based on reports from local BSP&W personnel and not from his personal experience and observation of the KOFA.

Paragraph 2, Page 2:

Mr. Whitaker made this statement: "Through water development, he has indeed increased the carrying capacity of his allotment for cows, but biologists of this department have shown that this increase has often been at wildlife's expense." Thirty years ago there were 30 to 40 deer in the area. Today, through good range management and constant adequate water supply maintained by us, there are thousands of deer, white wings, quail, and other wildlife in excellent condition. Mr. Hormay, who is used as an authority by Mr. Whitaker states "Water developed on the allotment for livestock is serving wildlife as well. Signs are around every watering place. I can't help but feel wildife - deer, doves, quail, for example - has increased because of these developments."

Paragraph 3, Page 2:

Maybe Mr. Whitaker and his department had better send their best geologists and engineers into the KOFA's before making the statement, "It is highly improbable that anything but percolating water could be found in the KOFA; and not underground channels". I assure you this is a misstatement. If this withdrawal should be granted, we naturally will take this to court, and we will prove this along with many other misstatements made by Mr. Whitaker and his department.

We believe our valid Arizona State Water Rights and Permits will stand up in a court of law.

In the meantime, if we quit pumping our wells, where does the water supply for all of these thousands of wildlife come from?

Paragraph 4, Page 2:

Because there are 93 known water sites on the existing game range doesn't mean they have water in them. Your bathroom
sink would hold as much water as some of these so-called water sites. Mr. Whitaker repudiates himself when he states, "Intermittent waters are always of questionable value to wildlife, and they may actually become decimating factors during severe drought", because most of their so-called "water sites" do not provide water on a year-to-year basis.

When as many hunting units exist in the State of Arizona, Mr. Whitaker is quibbling when he compares unit 28 in Eastern Arizona 44.6 percent and the Kaibab 51.3 percent (both of these areas have some of the highest rainfall yields in the State) to the KOFA's 40.6 percent, on an area that is also supporting the Big Horn Sheep and too many burros. As to the "extremely dry conditions causing the deer to be close in to water and being easy prey to hunters" any of the hunters that hunted the KOFA this year will attest we had above normal rainfall and the forage was excellent. We have pictures of dressed-out deer to prove how fat they were.

Mr. Whitaker states, "The transfer of the jurisdiction to BSF&W does not automatically eliminate livestock grazing." I have witnesses who will testify the Bureau of Sport Fish and Wildlife have already made up their minds to determine the area is overgrazed and to eliminate livestock.

I personally accompanied Mr. Hormay on his only trip to the KOFA's along with various BLM employees who will attest to what Mr. Hormay really said - "There is an overabundance of forage here. In fact, you could at least triple the amount of cows you are now grazing and still not affect the game capacity. The only place that shows deterioration is small areas in and around the water holes. With a rest-rotation program you could
increase your herd substantially." Isn't it amazing Mr. Whitaker took the one damaging statement out of the report. I am attaching a copy of Mr. Hormay's letter to show that it served Mr. Whitaker's purpose to quote only the portion of the report that serves his purpose, and other portions of the Hormay report are completely contrary to those of Mr. Whitaker.

Mr. Hormay, like many others, always refers to the livestock over-grazing around the water holes—they never mention the fact that through the last 40 to 50 years there have been thousands of deer, burros, sheep, and other wildlife who use the same area to get to a drink of water--no, it is always the livestock who do the damage--the livestock who are feeding the nation today and if the Good Lord permits, will be helping to feed the nation tomorrow.

Paragraph 4, Page 2

Let me clarify Mr. Whitaker's statement about the 18 waterings permitted to us by BLM. The BSFW are including tanks built by CCC's in the early 1930's as permitted to us, but they are not. These are the ones they speak of not holding water year around and they are BSFW's responsibility to maintain, not ours. Permanent waters permitted by BLM, in the KOFA, that we maintain on a day-to-day, year-around basis, are:

Coyote Peak  Jim Cravy
Alamo Spring  De La Osa
North Hoodoo  Wilbanks
South Hoodoo  New Well

Permanent waterings permitted by BLM, on balance of the allotment, that are maintained on a day-to-day, year-around basis by us, are:

Cement Mine  Bullwell
Crowder    South Hope
John Weisser  East Tank
Thomas    Shields
Spreaders    Plamosa

Permanent waters on State leased land that are maintained on a day-to-day, year-around basis by us are:
Quatro  
Sandoz  
McLain  
Cornish  
Interstate 10  

Permanent waterings on private land that are maintained on a day-to-day, year-around basis by us, are:

Dunn  
Swadley  
Wine Bottle  
McVay  
North Hope  
Clover Leaf  

Crippen  
Stutz  
Perry  
North Brenda  
South Brenda  

A total of 34 permanent year-around waterings maintained by us, furnishing water not only for livestock, but to game of all kinds on approximately 500,000 acres of Sonoran Desert. In fact, this is the best watered ranch in any Sonoran Desert area of the entire Southwest.

In closing, I should like to say that I do not hold Mr. Whitaker personally responsible for all these misstatements because I realize he is a very busy man and must rely mostly on the reports made to him by his personnel in the lower echelons. They, in their greed for more land areas under their jurisdictions, are not giving Mr. Whitaker all the facts as they really are.
Memorandum

To: Director, DSC (D-300)

From: State Director, Montana

Subject: Selection of Demonstration Area for Multiple-use Land Management Plan - Montana

In response to WO Instruction Memo 74-131, we have selected candidate areas for subject plan and reviewed each on-the-ground with Gus Hormay. He recommended developing a plan on the Matador allotment in Dillon District. We concur with his recommendation.

We will contact Gus to discuss scheduling and make arrangements for future meetings identified in Instruction Memo DSC 74-25.

Eugene H. Nowell

CC: DM, Dillon
The Honorable Paul Fannin  
United States Senate  
Washington, D. C. 20510

Dear Senator Fannin:

Re: KOFA Game Range

You have, I am sure, received a letter from John C. Whitaker, Under Secretary of the Interior, relating to my comments on the KOFA Game Range.

In my opinion, Mr. Whitaker's letter is extremely biased. He presents only the arguments that support his previous decision and ignores and omits any facts favorable to my position.

I am enclosing a copy of an analysis I have made of his letter, pointing out examples of his bias, and also pointing out where his facts are incorrect.

Probably the easiest way to point out the inconsistency of the letter is for me to enclose a letter dated October 5, 1973 from Mr. A. L. Hormay, Range Conservationist. Mr. Whitaker, in his letter, quotes the first sentence of Mr. Hormay's letter. He completely ignores all of the favorable comments in Mr. Hormay's letter, including that which is diametrically contrary to Mr. Whitaker's position. Mr. Hormay's letter states, "I don't believe cattle grazing is shorting big game or other wildlife of food or cover on the KOFA".

As I understand it, the Bureau of Land Management is now completing its answer to the hearings on the withdrawal. I would appreciate it if you can obtain a copy, for your information and file, from the State Division, Bureau of Land Management, in Phoenix, since it apparently cannot be released to me direct.
I appreciate your help and consideration on this matter.

I would also appreciate it if you could advise me as to the present status of the proposals on the KOFA since I am not sure whether any decisions have been reached or not.

Very truly yours,

Robert E. Crowder, Jr.

REC: jc
Encl.

cc: Mr. R. E. Foreman
United States Department of the Interior

BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20240
P.O. Box 245, Berkeley, CA.

July 5, 1974

TO: State Director, Oregon

FROM: August L. Horman, Range Conservationist

SUBJECT: Rest-rotation Multiple-Use Land Management Planning, Juniper Mountain Allotment

Around July 22, 1974, I will send you a list of subjects on which we will need information for planning management on the Juniper Mountain Allotment. Most of the information has probably been rounded up already in connection with the management framework plan. But additional specific information for the Juniper Mountain area will be needed.

Many outside agencies and groups could help the Bureau develop a management plan for the Juniper Mountain area by providing information and ideas. A partial list of such interests follows. I have checked the ones I feel could be most helpful in the present case.

Federal

✓ Forest Service
✓ Soil Conservation Service
  Bureau of Sports Fisheries & Wildlife
  Bureau of Indian Affairs
  Park Service
  Bureau of Reclamation
  Corps of Engineers
✓ Geological Survey
  Military
✓ Agricultural Research Service
✓ Environmental Protection Agency
✓ Legislators
  Others

State and Municipal

✓ Lands Department
✓ Fish and Game Department
  Forestry Department
State and Municipal (cont'd)

- Water Resources Department
  - Highway Department
  - Park Service
- Land-use Planning Board
- Public Utilities
  - Water
  - Power
  - Sanitation
  - Transportation
- Universities and other schools
- Extension Service
- Legislators
- Others

Private

- Livestock Industry
- National Wildlife Federation
- National Defense Council
- Sportsman groups
- Recreation groups
  - Hiking, packing, riding
  - Off-road vehicles
- Historical Societies
- Chamber of Commerce
- Mining Industry
- Agriculture (Farm Bureau, etc.)
- Others

I would like to see many of these outside interests invited to participate in the Juniper Mountain Planning effort.

The people in the Bureau that should participate are first, the principal personnel in the resource area, including secretaries, management services personnel, resource specialists, engineers and information officers; and those people in the district and state offices that service the resource area directly. The number of other participants from the state and from the Denver Service Center and Washington Offices will be determined mainly by capacity of meeting facilities. However, I suggest total attendance be limited to about 50 or 60 people.
I now plan to complete this project in a three or four-day period - the week of August 26, 1974 or the week of September 9, 1974.

The program would be as follows:

1st day      Theory of rest-rotation multiple-use land management
             Description of Juniper Mountain area.

2nd day      Group visit the area to size-up conditions and problems.

3rd day      Group prepare management plan for area
             (and perhaps 4th)

Please let me have your reactions to the foregoing suggestions at an early date, so I can proceed with planning.

A. J. Hormay

C/o Denver Service Center
Mr. A.L. Hormay
1960 Addison Street
Stead Building, P.O. Box 245
Berkeley, California 94701

Dear Mr. Hormay:

Regarding proposed multiple use AMP area selection review - August 5-7, 1974, after our conversation on May 29, 1974 we have selected three sites for review.

1. Granite Mtn. Complex
2. Rand Mtn. - Jawbone Complex

The suggested agenda would be:

**August 5**

1. You travel to Bakersfield via United Air Lines, arriving approximately 10:00 a.m.
2. Selected District personnel and State Office personnel would travel via Dodge van to Baker, California via Kelso arriving approximately 5:00 p.m. (Lunch at Barstow)

**August 6**

3. Inspect Granite Mtn. site, then travel to California City and stay overnight.

**August 7**

4. Leave California City early and inspect Rand Mtn. and Jawbone Canyon area then travel to Bakersfield and catch the 5:00 United Flight to San Francisco.

Sincerely yours,

J. R. Penny
State Director

2 Attachments
1. Location Map
2. List of Attendees

Copy to: DMS 0401 & 0406 (w/attach)
DPS (0960) (w/attach)
Dick Weaver, CDF&G (w/attach)
Suggested Attendees:

DPS - 1 or 2 - Bill Templeton

(We could meet DPS at Barstow at noon on the 5th)

CSO - 1 or 2 - Carl Rice

DSC 1 - Gus Hormay

Bakersfield 1 -

6 Total for Dodge van

Need:

Water Cooler - Ice and water - plenty of plastic cups
Rice's Ice Box - will furnish cool drinks
Lunches for the 5th
Coffee Jug

5th - Travel Cost:

Airport to Barstow - 129 miles - 2½ hours - 10:30 - 1:00
Barstow - 2 hours - 11:00 - 2:00 (lunch)
Barstow to Baker via Kelso 140 miles - 2:00 - 5:00
(Royal Hawaiian Motel)
Barstow Tour to Granites - 50 miles 7:00 - 8:00
3 - 4 hour review (Field Lunches)
Granites to California City - 2:00 p.m. - 5:00 p.m. Holiday Inn

7th
California City 7:00 a.m. - Review Rand Mtn. Area
Between California City and Randsburg - 2½ hours
(Lunch at Jawbone Restaurant)
Leave Jawbone 2:30 p.m. )
Bakersfield 4:00 p.m. ) Discuss areas inspected
UA to S.F. - 5:00 p.m.

Enclosure 2
Dear Senator Fannin:

Thank you for your comments regarding the proposed withdrawal and wilderness proposal for Kofa and Cabeza Prieta Game Ranges, Arizona. We appreciate your concern and the opportunity to address the issues raised in your letters of March 26 and May 9.

The present Kofa Game Range, which comprises 660,000 acres, has been withdrawn from the public domain since 1939 when Executive Order 8039 established the range for the conservation and development of natural wildlife resources and the protection and improvement of public grazing lands. Withdrawal application A-7950, filed by the Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife (BSFW), proposes to add 83,500 acres of public domain lands to the existing game range.

As a result of detailed studies which utilized the best information available regarding minerals, it was determined that 542,600 acres of the present range and proposed addition qualified for wilderness status. We believe that the lands within the proposal meet all criteria of the Wilderness Act (16 U.S.C. 1131-1136; 78 Stat. 890) and are eminently qualified for inclusion into the National Wilderness Preservation System. The portions of the area excluded from the wilderness proposal were designed to encompass the areas of highest mineral potential, based upon past mining activity and current mineral claims, and to exclude areas where extensive surface disturbance has scarred the terrain.

We frankly agree that the potential mineral resources of the Kofa are not well known. This has been a recognized problem, and we anticipate that the President will submit a wilderness proposal based on the suitability of the surface lands with the recommendation that action on this proposal be deferred pending the completion of detailed mineral surveys.
Honorale Paul Fannin

The proposed withdrawal from mineral entry is, of course, subject to existing valid rights, and patented mining claims as well as valid unpatented claims will be honored. These claims provided a major part of our considerations when developing wilderness boundaries. A mining engineer's opinion concluded that significant mineral deposits within the range's boundaries would most likely occur in the area of these claims; therefore, these areas were excluded from the wilderness recommendation. While the entire proposal area would be closed to further entry under the mining and mineral leasing laws, this Department could permit mineral exploration and extraction of refuge lands when such action was deemed to be in the national interest.

In regard to the waters of the Kofa, we would like to point out that the domestic livestock growers are responsible for only a very minor part of the waters available on the range. Of 83 known water sites within the existing range, 18 are maintained by the only current grazing permittee. Of these 18 waters, only about half are functional on a yearlong basis and intermittent water is always of questionable value to wildlife. In fact, they may actually become decimating factors during drought periods if animals have developed a dependency on them. Furthermore, most of the waters developed by cattle interests are located in the less mountainous area where they are of primary value to cattle. The waters of primary value to wildlife are located in the mountainous areas and are maintained by BSW.

It has been a long-recognized fact that joint Bureau of Land Management (BLM) and BSW management of the game ranges has resulted in inefficient and often conflicting management of the natural resources of the area. After a recent evaluation of all the game ranges, it was agreed that BSW was the appropriate management agency for both Kofa and Cabeza Prieta Ranges. The basis of that decision was a January 23 memorandum (copy enclosed) to me from the Assistant Secretary of Land and Water Resources. This memorandum indicated that BSW was the logical management agency because there are limited multiple use resource values on Kofa and Cabeza Prieta, these ranges contain a great amount of wilderness values and BLM has had little or no involvement in the management of either range since their inception.

BSFW presently has a supervisor assigned in Yuma with two additional men assigned to Kofa and one to Cabeza Prieta. In addition, personnel are assigned temporarily to the game ranges as necessary to complete the work programs.

Present planning includes moderate increases in both man-power and funding to meet current range objectives. These increases would be necessary regardless of the decision on the present proposals and would increase the annual budget.
Honorable Paul Fannin

to a total of $130,000 for Kofa and $56,700 for Cabeza Prieta, with approximately 7.0 man-years assigned to Kofa and 3.75 man-years assigned to Cabeza Prieta.

I would like to clarify one point concerning the public hearings. Your letter referred to "Mr. Lotario Ortega, the Field Solicitor from the Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife Office in Albuquerque." Mr. Ortega is Field Solicitor for the Department of the Interior and was representing this Department at both hearings.

Both the withdrawal hearings and wilderness hearings were recorded by Allied Stenotype Reporters under a General Services Administration contract. This contract prevents the Government from reproducing the transcript for distribution. Copies of the transcript can be purchased by the public from Allied Stenotype Reporters, Attention Mr. Kenneth R. Feick, 228 Luhrs Central Building, Phoenix, Arizona 85004.

The Government's copies of the transcript are part of the official withdrawal application files and are available for review by the public in the BLM State Office in Phoenix.

A wilderness record will be compiled on this proposal and copies will be available for public review in the office of the Regional Director, Albuquerque, New Mexico, and in BSFW's office in Yuma.

In addition to the above locations, transcripts of all hearings will be available for public review within the respective Bureaus in Washington, D.C.

We hope that this information helps to clarify the situation. If we can be of further assistance, please call on us.

Sincerely yours,

[Signature]

Secretary of the Interior

Honorable Paul Fannin
United States Senate
Washington, D.C. 20510

Enclosure
Memorandum

To: State Director, Oregon

From: August L. Hormay, Range Conservationist, Berkeley

Subject: Rest-rotation Multiple-Use Land Management Planning, Juniper Mountain Allotment

Attached is a list of the subjects on which we will need specific information for the Juniper Mountain Area for planning management. I'm sure most of this information has been rounded up already in connection with preparation of the management framework plan.

However, I would like to have most of it in the form of maps and tables and on Kodachrome slides so it can be projected on a screen. Please put the map information on a suitable base so the information stands out clearly, and words and numbers are legible on the screen. Will you please have slides made of all the maps and all the tables except those dealing with plant composition and kinds of wildlife (animals).

In addition, we need two maps to hang on the wall in the meeting room:

1. State of Oregon showing location of Lakeview District.

2. Lakeview District showing location of resource area, Juniper Mountain Area and land ownership.

I will be using the same kind of equipment in the indoor portion of the program as I have used in previous training sessions. A list of the equipment is attached.

Enclosures.

cc: District Mgr, Lakeview District
Denver Service Center
Information for Planning Management
on Rest-rotation Multiple-Use Demonstration Areas

Name of Area

Map
Location in state

Map
Land ownership

Climate

Precipitation
Average annual
Distribution by months

Temperature
Average max-min
Summer
Winter

Vegetation growing season(s)
Start, end (seed ripe) Ave. approx.

Map
Vegetation types

Geology

Text
One paragraph description

Map
Water Resources

Location lakes, streams, springs, wells, dams and other impoundments, underground supplies. Show existing and potential. Put on sectionized, drainage map base.

Vegetation Composition

Tables
Species composition by vegetation types and kinds of plants.

Trees
Conifer
Broadleaf

Shrubs

Grasses
Annual
Perennial

Grass-like (sedges, rushes, etc.)
Annual
Perennial
Forbs
Annual
Perennial
Aquatic and moist site
Include mosses, algae, fungi, horsetails, ferns.

List all species on area. Give common and scientific name and species symbol.

Important: Phenology - Give date of start of growth, and flowering and seed ripe time for each species.

Wildlife (animals)

Tables
List all species. Give common and scientific names. Identify rare and endangered species.

Breakdown:
Mammals
Game
Others
Birds
Game
Song (sparrow-like)
Prey (hawks, eagles, vultures, etc.)
Water, shore

Reptiles

Amphibians

Crustaceans

Fish

Other

List two or three main food items for each species.

Plant Diseases

Map
Show area affected by each kind of disease:

White pine blister rust, dwarf mistletoe, poplar leaf blight, rusts, etc.
3

Land Use

1 paragraph Indians
1 paragraph Spaniards, Russians, etc.
1 paragraph Trappers, hunters, explorers
Settlers
Ranching
Cattle, sheep, horses.
1. Numbers and season by years or periods to 1934.
2. Numbers, season, by years or periods after
   1934 to date. Also give name of user.

Tables

1 paragraph Farming
Type, area, period of time.

Timber Cutting

Map
Location-species and use (lumber, pulp, posts,
firewood, etc.

Wildlife

Tables
Game (Mammals, birds)
Predators
Furbearers
Fish
Give approximate numbers
of animals and trend in
numbers to present time.

Map
Show areas used by big game - summer, winter.

Map
Recreation
Existing and potential sites.

Camping
Picnicking
Hiking trails
Off-road vehicle roads, trails
Scenic drives, overlooks
Fishing - Lakes, streams
Archeological, historical
Other
**Mining**

Show location of mine, kind of mineral, method of mining and activity status.

**Improvements (existing)**

Roads
Trails
Fences
Buildings
Dams, impoundments
Power and communication lines
Other

**Land Condition**

**Fire**

Show area burned to date.
Causes of fires.

**Insect damage**

Show area and host plant effected by kind of insect - tent caterpillars, crickets, western pine beetle, tussock moth, oak moth, etc.

**Sheet erosion**

Show area and severity within vegetation types

**Erosion classes**

None
Light to moderate - 1-inch topsoil eroded.
Heavy to Severe - more than 1-inch topsoil eroded.

If erosion activity at present time give estimate of per cent of area in each vegetation types affected.
Show on map - for example:

**Sagebrush type**

Light to moderate
40%

Do not give percent if erosion not active - not accelerated.
Classroom Equipment
for
Rest-Rotation Grazing Management
Training Schools
Conducted by A. L. Hormay

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Item</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>35 mm. slide projectors, Kodak Carousel, with Zoom lenses if possible. Otherwise with standard lenses of the same focal length.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Extra light bulbs for projectors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Projection screens. Same size and type. Size to fit room and suit viewers. Set screens high enough so people in back of the room can see over the heads of people in front.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Easels (sturdy) for 2' x 3' or larger size paper work sheets. These should be set high enough so people in back of the room can see the bottom of the sheets.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Pads of paper work sheets.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 each</td>
<td>Black, red, and green felt-tipped ink scribers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Pointer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Loud-speaker setup with neck-supported portable microphone on at least a 12-foot cord.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please set up all equipment and make sure it is in working order and suited to the room prior to the start of the school.
Mr. Gus Hormay  
Pacific S.W. Forest & Range  
Experiment Station  
1960 Addison Street  
P.O. Box 245  
Berkeley, CA  94704

Dear Mr. Hormay:

The Bureau of Land Management, with the assistance of Gus Hormay, plans to establish a multiple use land management demonstration area in Oregon. The area that has been selected for this purpose is the Juniper Mountain Allotment in the Lakeview District. This area consists of approximately 90,000 acres of BLM administered lands and a small acreage of private and other lands. It has a wide variety of resource values and uses and is important for domestic livestock grazing, wildlife, recreation, esthetic and other values. The area is located approximately 50 miles north of the town of Lakeview.

As this area is an important part of our national resource lands, we would like to have representatives from various agencies and groups participate in the actual development of the multiple use plan. Therefore, we wish to invite you and a wide variety of other interests concerned with wild land management to participate in this planning effort during the week of August 26-30, 1974.

The program will be conducted by Gus Hormay who is well known and highly recognized for his contributions toward promoting proper management and use of natural rangelands.

The program will be as follows:

1st day — Theory of rest-rotation multiple use land management and description of the Juniper Mountain area will be presented by Gus Hormay at Lakeview.

2nd day — Group will visit the area to size up conditions and problems.

3rd day — (and perhaps 4th) Group will prepare a management plan for the area.
It would be very much appreciated if you or your representative would participate in this program and provide information and ideas as to how the area should be managed.

Please let us know by August 7, 1974, if you plan to attend. We will then provide you with more detailed information concerning the meeting place, specific time schedule, hotel or motel accommodations and other information.

Sincerely yours,

E. J. Petersen

Associate State Director
Mr. Gus Hormay
Pacific S.W. Forest & Range
Experiment Station
1960 Addison Street
P.O. Box 245
Berkeley, CA 94704

Dear Mr. Hormay:

The Bureau of Land Management, with the assistance of Gus Hormay, plans to establish a multiple use land management demonstration area in Oregon. The area that has been selected for this purpose is the Juniper Mountain Allotment in the Lakeview District. This area consists of approximately 90,000 acres of BLM administered lands and a small acreage of private and other lands. It has a wide variety of resource values and uses and is important for domestic livestock grazing, wildlife, recreation, esthetic and other values. The area is located approximately 50 miles north of the town of Lakeview.

As this area is an important part of our national resource lands, we would like to have representatives from various agencies and groups participate in the actual development of the multiple use plan. Therefore, we wish to invite you and a wide variety of other interests concerned with wild land management to participate in this planning effort during the week of August 26-30, 1974.

The program will be conducted by Gus Hormay who is well known and highly recognized for his contributions toward promoting proper management and use of natural rangelands.

The program will be as follows:

1st day - Theory of rest-rotation multiple use land management and description of the Juniper Mountain area will be presented by Gus Hormay at Lakeview.

2nd day - Group will visit the area to size up conditions and problems.

3rd day - (and perhaps 4th) Group will prepare a management plan for the area.
It would be very much appreciated if you or your representative would participate in this program and provide information and ideas as to how the area should be managed.

Please let us know by August 7, 1974, if you plan to attend. We will then provide you with more detailed information concerning the meeting place, specific time schedule, hotel or motel accommodations and other information.

Sincerely yours,

[Signature]

E. J. Petersen
Associate State Director
Memorandum

To: Glen Fulcher

From: August L. Hormay, Range Conservationist, Berkeley

Subject: Rest-rotation Multiple-Use Land Management Demonstration Area Program

I believe the enclosed material is self explanatory. Sorry I don't have time to explain further. This is Saturday and I am leaving for the field again Monday.

Enclosures:
Suggested memorandum to State Directors
Hormay Schedule
Correspondence Oregon
    July 5
    July 18
    July 25
Classroom equipment (for planning session)

cc: District Directors:

Lakeview District Office, Lakeview, Oregon
Dillon District Office, Dillon, Montana
Billings District Office, Billings, Montana
Suggested memorandum to State Directors

Subject: Rest-rotation Multiple-Use Land Management Demonstration Area Program.

Attached is a schedule covering Gus Hormay's actual and proposed travel in the various states this calendar year (1974) on the above program. If suggested dates for your state are not satisfactory please see if you can exchange time with some other state or contact Gus to see if another date can be arranged.

Gus now plans to cover the planning session - points 3 to 6 in Information Memo. No. DSC-74-25, June 3, 1974 - in one continuous 3 or 4 day period to save time and travel expenses.

The first planning session in this program is scheduled in Lakeview, Oregon the week of August 26, 1974. The demonstration area is the Juniper Mountain Cattle Allotment. Copies of correspondence on the development of the program on this area are enclosed, for your information.

You may wish to inform the people you would like to have participate in planning in your state about the program as soon as the demonstration area is selected, so they have considerable advance notice of the time of the planning session.

Please send all the information (slides, tables, and texts) mentioned in Gus' July, 18, 1974, memo to Oregon for your state to Gus at least one month before the planning session. Send it piecemeal as soon as it is ready.

Enclosures.
Instruction Memo - CSO-74-89
Expires 12/31/74

To: District Managers, Bakersfield and Riverside

From: State Director

Subject: Gus Hormay, Review of Granite, Rand Mountain and Jawbone areas for Multiple Use AMP's

The following schedule has been worked out with the State Office, Bakersfield and Riverside District Offices and Gus Hormay. Mr. Earl Carie and Mr. Richard Wright have been contacted by Carl Rice.

August 5th

Richard Wright and Carl Rice will meet Gus Hormay at 10 a.m. at the Bakersfield Airport. Then travel to Barstow and pick up Bill Mortimer and Joe Gulliksen between 12 noon and 1 p.m. at Area Headquarters. We will lunch at Barstow. Travel to Baker and stay at the Royal Hawaiian Motel.

August 6th - 7 a.m.

The group will travel to Granite Mountain area for review. Field lunches will be drinks, canned goods and canned fruit or if you want something different you can bring your own.

P.M. - Travel to California City, Holiday Inn.

August 7th - 7 a.m.

Group will travel through the Rand and Jawbone area, arriving Bakersfield Airport by 5 p.m. Rice will pick up Hertz rental and drive to either Barstow and/or Riverside so if Mr. Mortimer and Mr. Gulliksen want to attend the complete trip transportation will be available back to their headquarters.
District office personnel attending the session should be knowledgable of the Season of use and numbers of livestock, wildlife use, other uses and some of the history of the areas. Time should be charged to 1220-1012.

Attendees

Bill Mortimer
Joe Gulliksen
Richard Wright

Brad Heinz
Carl Rice
Gus Hormay

Bakersfield will provide Dodge Van for transportation. Richard Wright will make necessary reservations at California City, Holiday Inn. Earl Carie the reservations at the Royal Hawaiian Motel, Baker. Carl Rice will arrange for field meals.

Copy to:

Gus Hormay
Earl Carie
Richard Wright
Barstow Area Manager

Distribution
WO (412)
DSC (531)
July 30, 1974

A. L. Hormay, Range Conservationist
Bureau of Land Management
Pacific SW Forest & Range Experiment Station
U. S. Forest Service
1960 Addison Street
P. O. Box 245
Berkeley, California 94701

Dear Gus:

We have made arrangements to use a helicopter under contract to the Forest Service and stationed in Challis. Unless pre-empted by a serious fire we can use it Monday, Tuesday and Wednesday. We may be able to use it two hours each day without charge depending upon what Dan Pence can work out. This is a four-man craft and can carry the pilot plus 2 or 3 other men and material for the photo stations.

We will make reservations for you at Murray's Motel in Challis for August 12 and 13 and at the Holiday Inn in Ketchum for August 14 and 15.

Unless we advise you differently, Grant Harbour and Dan Pence will meet you at Murray's Motel about noon on Monday, August 12 and Jim Lewis from the Shoshone District will meet you at the Silver Dollar Cafe in Bellevue about 8:00 a.m. on August 14. Jens will not be accompanying you on this trip.

Please let us know what arrangements, if any, we should make for your transportation from Boise or Idaho Falls to Challis and return.

Jens will contact you or your secretary sometime during the week of August 5 to make final arrangements.

Sincerely yours,

[Signature]
Richard H. Petrie,
Acting State Director